2

3

4

HARTMANN & KANANEN RONALD A. HARTMANN, ESQ., SBN #115683 constructiondefects@gmail.com KURT E. KANANEN, ESQ., SBN #156136 kurtkananen@sbcglobal.net 5743 Corsa Avenue, Suite 119 Westlake Village, California 91362 Telephone: (818) 710-0151

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

Attorneys for Plaintiff David A. Glazer

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVID A. GLAZER, an individual,	CASE NO. BC669741
Plaintiffs,	Complaint Filed: July 25, 2017 Assigned To: Hon. Richard E. Rico Dept.: 17
vs.	DECLARATION OF RONALD A. HA
CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO; CHENEY	(WITH EXHIBITS) IN SUPPORT OF

SHAPIRO DESIGNS 401K; CHENEY SHAPIRO DESIGNS; RESOURCEFUL DEVELOPMENTS, INC.; RICHARD JUDSON WILLIAMS; SILVERWOOD PROPERTIES, INC.; KENNETH **HOWARD SHAPIRO; PODLEY ASSOCIATES** REALTORS; LINDA DARLINGTON SEYFFERT; SEISMIC SAFETY, INC.; EDMUND J. SYLVIS; KEN LAMARR COMPTON; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 250.

Defendants.

N OF RONALD A. HARTMANN

TS) IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S **EX PARTE APPLICATION TO:**

- STRIKE THE DECEMBER 7, 2018 ORDER **DISMISSING THE DEFENDANTS AND RETURN THE MATTER TO THE ACTIVE** CALENDAR; AND
- 2 SET THE OSC RE DISMISSAL FOR **DECEMBER 17, 2018**

[Filed Concurrently with Ex Parte Application and Proposed Order]

DATE: December 17, 2018

TIME: 8:30 a.m.

DEPT: 17

TRIAL DATE: None set

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION

- I, Ronald A. Hartmann, hereby declare as follows:
- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in all courts of the State of 1. California. I am an attorney with the law firm of Hartmann & Kananen, counsel of record herein

DECLARATION OF RONALD A. HARTMANN RE: OSC RE DISMISSAL FOR DECEMBER 17, 2018



for Plaintiff David A. Glazer. I have personal knowledge of all facts stated in this declaration and could and would testify to those facts if called upon to do so.

- 2. This lawsuit involves, inter alia, alleged breach of contract and fraud involving certain of the defendants' remodeling and sale of a single family home to plaintiff, as well as negligence by the real estate agents/brokers and a home inspector.
 - 3. After much discovery, the parties engage in mediation with Judge Richard E. Stone (Ret). Judge Stone proposed a complicated resolution to the lawsuit that involved the selling defendants buying the home back from Plaintiff, with all of the defendants paying additional money as part of the settlement.
 - 4. The transaction to settle this lawsuit is complicated and it took some time to negotiate the details. The salient point of the settlement are: (1) The escrow to purchase back the home is set to close on March 1, 2019, and the payment of cash settlement funds will take place immediately thereafter. (2) However, if the escrow does not close, the settlement is cancelled, and the parties will return to the status quo before the settlement, in active litigation and, presumably, settlement negotiations.
 - 5. On November 29, 2018, the Court held a Post-Mediation Status Conference to discuss the status of the settlement. Plaintiff's counsel personally appeared at the status conference, and défense counsel Warren K. Miller and Jean H. Cha appeared via CourtCall. The Minute Order from the November 29 Status Conference states that defendant Cheney Adrienne Shapiro appeared by Alisa E. Sandoval via telephone. However, Ms. Sandoval did not appear at the hearing in person or by phone, and the only two attorneys that appeared via phone were Warren K. Miller and Jean H. Cha. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a correct of the Minute Order from the November 29 Status Conference.
 - 6. During the November 29, 2018 Status Conference, Counsel informed the Court that the settlement documents were finalized and signed by several of the parties, and that the attorney representing the parties that had not yet signed the settlement documents had represented to the parties that her clients would soon be signing the documents. The Court

accepted counsels' representations, asked when the parties would like to come back to Court regarding the status of the settlement. I suggested December 10, 2018. However the Court suggested we take a little extra time and told us the OSC would be December 17, 2018, at 8:30 a.m., and ordered Plaintiff to give notice. Thus, I believed that the Court set the OSC for December 17, 2018, at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. 17.

- 7. On November 30, 2018, I mailed to all counsel the Notice of the OSC set for December 17, 2018, at 8:30 a.m. in Dept. 17. The Notice of OSC for December 17, 2018, was filed with the Court on December 3, 2018. A correct copy of the filed Notice of the December 17, 2018 OSC is Exhibit 2 attached hereto.
- 8. On December 11, 2018, after the settlement documents had been signed by all parties, Plaintiff's counsel electronically submitted a Notice of Settlement (Conditional) for filing in this action. A correct copy of the electronically submitted Notice of Settlement (Conditional) is Exhibit 3 attached hereto.
- 9. On December 12, 2018, I checked the status of the Notice of Settlement (Conditional) and observed that it had not been filed. I then checked the Court's web site and noticed that an OSC took place on December 7, 2018, and the defendants were dismissed on that day, without prejudice. I also observed on the web site that that the December 17, 2018 OSC was not on calendar. The absence of the December 17, 2018 OSC confused me, and I thought that perhaps the Notice of Settlement was actually filed and the December 17, 2018 OSC was vacated. I called the Dept. 17 Court Clerk on December 12, 2018, and she invited me to come to Dept. 17 to obtain a copy of the December 7, 2018 Minute Order. I had not previously received a copy of the December 7, 2018 Minute Order. I did not receive the minute order and the Order of Dismissal until the mail was delivered to our office on Thursday, March 13, 2018. A correct copy of the Minute Order from the December 7, 2018 OSC and a correct copy of the December 7, 2018 Order of Dismissal of defendants without prejudice is Exhibit 4 attached hereto.

10.	It appears that an administrative or clerical error was made in the court system
and the OSC	was inadvertently set for December 7, 2018, rather than December 17, 2018 as
the Court in	dicated during the November 29, 2018 Status Conference.

- 11. On December 12, 2018, I learned from Minute Order and the Order Re Dismissal (Exhibit 4) that an OSC took place on December 7, 2018, and that no parties appeared because no party had notice, and the defendants were dismissed December 7, 2018, without prejudice. It appears that a court system administrative or clerical error was made in the court system and the OSC was inadvertently set for December 7, 2018, rather than December 17, 2018 as the Court indicated during the November 29, 2018 Status Conference.
- 12. It is important that Glazer v Shapiro, et al., Case No. BC669741, be returned to the active calendar because the settlement involves a buy back of the Plaintiff's home that was the subject of this lawsuit. The escrow is set to close on March 1, 2019. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, the entire settlement is contingent upon the escrow closing on March 1, 2019. If the escrow does not close, then the settlement is cancelled and the parties will return back to Court for a trial date and, presumably, more settlement negotiations.
- 13. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1203(a), I provided timely written notice of this Application, including the nature of the Application and the date, time, and place of hearing, to all parties in this action by and through their respective counsel on Friday, December 14, 2018. Exhibit 5 attached hereto is the notice of this ex parte application.
 - 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a Proposed Order.
- 15. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1202(a), the parties and their counsel to this action are as follows:

Warren K. Miller, Esq.
Carlson Law Group, Inc.
21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364
Office: 818-996-7800 | Fax: 818-884-4285
wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties

l l		
1	Jean H. Cha, Esq.	
- {	Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP 801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017	
2	Phone: 213-624-6900 Fax: 213-624-6999	
3	Email: jhc@manningllp.com	
4	Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.	
5	Alisa E. Sandoval, Esq.	
6	Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC 234 E Colorado Blvd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101	
7	Phone: (626) 449-5577 Fax: (626) 449-5572	
8	Email: alisa@richardsonober.com Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, truste	e o
9	Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.	
10		
11	Andrew L. Leff, Esq. Spile, Leff & Gore	
12	16501 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 610, Encino, CA 91436	
13	Phone: (818) 784-6899 Fax: (818) 784-0176 Email: aleff@spilelaw.com	
14	Attorney for defendants Linda D. Seyffert; Podley Associates, Realtors	
15	t designed and expendency of perjury and extract the fatte of same into	it
16	the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 14th day of December, 2018, at Woodland	
17	Hills, California.	
18	P. OINI-	
19	/ Surase Nowmann	
20	1	
21	Attorneys for plaintiff David A. Glazer	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

EXHIBIT 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Department 17

BC669741 DAVID A GLAZER VS CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO ET November 30, 2018 8:30 AM

AL.

Judge: Honorable Richard E. Rico Judicial Assistant: A. J. ORTIZ

CSR: None ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: C. ELLIS

Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): Ronald A. Hartmann, Esq.

For Defendant(s): Seismic Safety, Inc. by JEAN H. CHA (Telephonic); Cheney Adrienne

Shapiro BY ALISA E. SANDOVAL (Telephonic)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Post-Mediation Status Conference

Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal is scheduled for 12/07/2018 at 08:30 AM in Department 17 at Stanley Mosk Courthouse.

Plaintiff is to give notice.

EXHIBIT 2



DEC 03 2018

LITING MINDOM **HARTMANN & KANANEN** RONALD A. HARTMANN, ESQ., SBN #115683 constructiondefects@gmail.com KURT E. KANANEN, ESQ., SBN #156136 kurtkananen@sbcglobal.net 5743 Corsa Avenue, Suite 119

CONFORMED COPY

DEC 03 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Chicer, Clerk By: Claudette Jasper, Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff David A. Glazer

Telephone: (818) 710-0151

Westlake Village, California 91362

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVID A. GLAZER, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO; CHENEY SHAPIRO DESIGNS 401K; CHENEY SHAPIRO DESIGNS; RESOURCEFUL DEVELOPMENTS, INC.; RICHARD JUDSON WILLIAMS; SILVERWOOD PROPERTIES, INC.; KENNETH **HOWARD SHAPIRO; PODLEY ASSOCIATES** REALTORS; LINDA DARLINGTON SEYFFERT; SEISMIC SAFETY, INC.; EDMUND J. SYLVIS; KEN LAMARR COMPTON; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 250.

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC669741

Complaint Filed: July 25, 2017 Assigned To: Hon. Richard E. Rico

Dept.: 17

NOTICE OF OSC RE: DISMISSAL

DATE: December 17, 2018

TIME: 8:30 a.m.

DEPT: 17

TRIAL DATE: None set



Hartmann & Kananen 5743 Corsa Ave, Ste. 119 Westlake Village, CA 91362 [818] 710-0151 FAX (818) 710-0191

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Dated: November 30, 2018

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court has set a Notice of OSC RE: Dismissal for December 17, 2018, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 17 of the above-entitled Court.

HARTMANN & KANANEN

Ronald A. Hartmann

Attorneys for plaintiff David A. Glazer

Hartmann & Kananen 5743 Corsa Ave, Ste. 119 Westlake Village, CA 91362 (818) 710-0151 FAX (818) 710-0191

PROOF OF SERVICE Glazer v Shaprio, et al. Los Angeles County Sup. Ct. Case No. BC669741

I am employed in Los Angeles County, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is the law firm of Hartmann & Kananen, 5743 Corsa Ave., Suite 119, Westlake Village, California 91362. My electronic notification address is constructiondefects@sbcglobal.net.

On November 30, 2018, I served the document(s) described as:

NOTICE OF OSC RE: DISMISSAL FOR DECEMBER 17, 2018 AT 8:30 A.M. IN DEPARTMENT 17

[XX] on all the interested parties in this action, by placing: [] the original [XX] true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as follows, which addresses are the addresses last given by the respective addressees on any document filed in the above case and served on the Hartmann & Kananen:

SEE ATTACHED LIST

[XX] BY MAIL: On the date set forth below I deposited such envelope(s), in a mailbox regularly maintained by the U.S. Postal Service in Westlake Village, California. The envelope(s) was/were deposited with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed this 30th day of November, 2018, at Westlake Village, California.

Ronald A. Hartmann

	1	Service List: Glazer v Shipiro, LASC Case Number BC669741
	2	Warren K. Miller, Esq.
	3	Carlson Law Group, Inc.
		21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Office: 818-996-7800 Fax: 818-884-4285
	4	wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com
	5	Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties
	6	Jean H. Cha, Esq.
	7	Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP
		801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017
	8	Phone: 213-624-6900 Fax: 213-624-6999
	9	Email: jhc@manningllp.com Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.
	10	Attorney for defendants ken E. Compton, Seismic Safety, Inc.
	10	Alisa E. Sandoval, Esq.
	11	Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC
	12	234 E Colorado Blvd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101
		Phone: (626) 449-5577 Fax: (626) 449-5572 Email: alisa@richardsonober.com
1	13	Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, trustee of
i •	14	Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard J.
	15	Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.
		Andrew Lieff Fee
	16	Andrew L. Leff, Esq. Spile, Leff & Gore
	17	16501 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 610, Encino, CA 91436
	18	Phone: (818) 784-6899 Fax: (818) 784-0176
	ļ	Email: aleff@spilelaw.com
	19	Attorney for defendants Linda D. Seyffert, Podley Associates, Realtors
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	— 4 — NOTICE OF OSC RE: DISMISSAL FOR DECEMBER 17, 2018
	- 1	



EXHIBIT 3

	CM-20
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): - Ronald A. Hartmann, Esq., SBN 115683 Hartmann & Kananen	FOR COURT USE ONLY
5743 Corsa Ave, Ste. 119, Westlake Village, CA 91362	
TELEPHONE NO.: 818-710-0151 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): construction defects@gmail.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff David A. Glazer	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Colusa	-
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David A. Glazer	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Cheney Adrienne Shapiro, et al.	
	CASE NUMBER: BC 669741
NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF ENTIRE CASE	JUDGE: Hon. Richard E/ Rico
	DEPT.: 17
o the court, all parties, and any arbitrator or other court-connected ADR neu	tral involved in this case:
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the d Date of settlement:	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the dismissal will be filed within 45 days.	ate of the settlement. The satisfactory completion of
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the displace of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017	ate of the settlement.
 This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause 	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter or specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m.	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the did Date of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter or specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17 Trial date: None	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the di	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17 Trial date: None	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the di	ate of the settlement.
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the display after the display of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17 Trial date: None a. No trial date set. b. (1) Date:	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a. Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the d Date of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter or specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the settlement initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17 Trial date: None a. No trial date set. b. (1) Date: (2) Time: (3) Department:	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of settlement. A request for dismissal will
This entire case has been settled. The settlement is: a Unconditional. A request for dismissal will be filed within 45 days after the distribution Date of settlement: b Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter or specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the settled no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17 Trial date: None a No trial date set. b (1) Date: (2) Time: (3) Department: declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of settlement. A request for dismissal will
Date of settlement: b. Conditional. The settlement agreement conditions dismissal of this matter of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of the specified no later than (date): March 22, 2019 Date initial pleading filed: July 25, 2017 Next scheduled hearing or conference: a. Purpose: Order to Show Cause b. (1) Date: December 17, 2018 (2) Time: 8:30 a.m. (3) Department: 17 Trial date: None a. No trial date set. b. (1) Date: (2) Time:	ate of the settlement. In the satisfactory completion of settlement. A request for dismissal will

~	M	_2	Λ	n
	ıvı	-,	4.5	

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David A. Glazer

CASE NUMBER:

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Cheney Adrienne Shapiro, et al.

BC 669741

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF ENTIRE CASE

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Settlement the notice must complete this proof of service.)	t of Entire Case if you are a party in the action. The person who served
 I am at least 18 years old and not a party to this a place, and my residence or business address is (s) 	action. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took pecify):
2. I served a copy of the Notice of Settlement of Entire	re Case by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage
fully prepaid and (check one): a. deposited the sealed envelope with the L	Inited States Postal Service
b. placed the sealed envelope for collection with which I am readily familiar. On the s	n and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, ame day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is ness with the United States Postal Service.
3. The Notice of Settlement of Entire Case was mailed	d:
a. on (date):	
b. from (city and state):	
4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follow	rs:
a. Name of person served:	c. Name of person served:
Street address:	Street address:
City:	City:
State and zip code:	State and zip code:
b. Name of person served:	d. Name of person served:
Street address:	Street address:
City:	City:
State and zip code:	State and zip code:
Names and addresses of additional persons	served are attached. (You may use form POS-030(P).)
5. Number of pages attached	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the	State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

PROOF OF SERVICE Glazer v Shaprio, et al. Los Angeles County Sup. Ct. Case No. BC669741

I am employed in Los Angeles County, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is the law firm of Hartmann & Kananen, 5743 Corsa Ave., Suite 119, Westlake Village, California 91362. My electronic notification address is kurtkananen@sbcglobal.net.

On December 10, 2018, I served the document(s) described as:

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF ENTIRE CASE

[XX] on all the interested parties in this action, by placing: [] the original [XX] true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as follows, which addresses are the addresses last given by the respective addressees on any document filed in the above case and served on the Hartmann & Kananen:

SEE ATTACHED LIST

[XX] BY MAIL: On the date set forth below I deposited such envelope(s), in a mailbox regularly maintained by the U.S. Postal Service in Westlake Village, California. The envelope(s) was/were deposited with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed this 10th day of December, 2018, at Westlake Village, California.

Kurt E. Kananen

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF ENTIRE CASE





Journal Technologies Court Portal

Subsequent Receipt

Filed to Court Successfully

Your Order Number: f486b5d4ad03

Court Transaction ID: LA21161

Order

Organization Name:

Organization Email:

undefined

County/Location:

Central District Stanley Mosk Courthouse Department 17

Matter #:

Glazer v Shapiro-Notice of Settlement

Submitted Date:

12/11/2018 02:04pm

Case

Filing Title:

DAVID A GLAZER VS CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO ET AL

Case Type:

Civil Unlimited

Case Category;

Contractual Fraud

Case Number:

BC669741

Case Name:

DAVID A GLAZER VS CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO ET AL

Documents

Notice of Settlement

notice-of-settlement-glazer-v-shapiro.pdf - 75531kb

Additional Documents

Estimated Fees		Amount
Convenience Fee		\$ 4.95
Court Transaction Fee	en e	\$ 1.75
Credit Card Transaction Fee	The second development of the second develop	\$ 0.05
Total		\$ 6.75

🖶 Print Receipt

View My Filing



Journal Technologies Court Portal

Subsequent Receipt

Filed to Court Successfully

Your Order Number: f486b5d4ad03

Court Transaction ID: LA21161

Order

Organization Name:

Organization Email:

undefined

County/Location:

Central District Stanley Mosk Courthouse Department 17

Matter #:

Glazer v Shapiro-Notice of Settlement

Submitted Date:

12/11/2018 02:04pm

Case

Filing Title:

DAVID A GLAZER VS CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO ET AL

Case Type:

Civil Unlimited

Case Category;

Contractual Fraud

Case Number:

BC669741

Case Name:

DAVID A GLAZER VS CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO ET AL

Documents

Notice of Settlement

notice-of-settlement-glazer-v-shapiro.pdf - 75531kb

Additional Documents

Estimated Fees			Amount
Convenience Fee			\$ 4.95
Court Transaction Fee	TO THE COLUMN TO THE CONTRACT OF THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN	7	\$ 1.75
Credit Card Transaction Fee	The second secon	re de debuilde de de desert en répris es requi de la	\$ 0.05
Total		S. S	\$ 6.75

Print Receipt

View My Filing

EXHIBIT 4

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Department 17

BC669741 DAVID A GLAZER VS CHENEY ADRIENNE SHAPIRO ET AL

December 7, 2018 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Richard E. Rico Judicial Assistant: A. J. ORTIZ Courtroom Assistant: C. ELLIS CSR: None ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal

Parties fail to appear

The order to show cause re: dismissal is discharged.

The Court orders Cheney Adrienne Shapiro, Cheney Shapiro Desighns 401K, Cheney Shapiro Designs, Edmund J. Sylvis, Ken Lamarr Compton, Kenneth Howard Shapiro, Linda Darlington Seyffert, Podley Associates Realtors, Resourceful Developments, Inc., Richard Judson Williams, Seismic Safety, Inc. and Silverwood Properties, Inc in Complaint filed by DAVID A GLAZER on 07/25/2017 dismissed without prejudice.



G INY	

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
Stanley Mosk Courthouse	12/07/2018
111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cou
PLAINTIFF(S):	By: A. Ortiz Deputy
David A. Glazer	3,1
DEFENDANT(S): Cheney Adrienne Shapiro et al	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL	CASE NUMBER: BC669741
On the motion of the Court	, and
pursuant to the provisions of section of	the Civil Code of Procedures,
pursuant to Local Policy and / or Local Rules,	·
it is hereby ordered that the within action is dismissed	
with prejudice as to without prejudice as to	
entire action complaint only	
cross complaint of	
✓ other Cheney Adrienne Shapiro, et al.	
It is further ordered that	
to recover costs as provided by law	
in the sum of \$	
per filing memorandum of costs (1033 CCP et. Seq.)	
	ichard E. Rico
Dated: 12/07/2018 Richa	rd E. Rico / Judge
Jü	dicial Officer

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp		
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Stanley Mosk Courthouse 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 12/07/2018		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David A. Glazer	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Cleak of Court By: A. Ortiz Deputy		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Cheney Adrienne Shapiro et al			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: BC669741		

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Order - Dismissal upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

ANDREW L. LEFF 16501 VENTURA BLVD STE. 610 ENCINO, CA 91436-

Jeffrey M. Lenkov, Esq. Manning Kass Ellrod Ramirez Trester LLP 801 S. Figueroa Street, 15th Floor Los Angeles,, CA 90017-

Kelly G. Richardson, Esq 234 E. Colorado Blvd. Suite 800 Pasadena, CA 91101-

Mark C. Carlson, Esq. Carlson Law Group, Inc. 21031 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1100 Woodland Hills,, CA 91364-

Ronald A. Hartmann, Esq. Hartmann & Kananen 5743 Corsa Avenue, ste 119 Westlake Village, CA 91362STEVE SPILE 16501 VENTURA BLVD STE. 610 ENCINO, CA 91436-

Dated: 12/7/2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Ortiz
Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CENTRAL DISTRICT-STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE CIVIL DIVISION 111 NORTH HILL STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012





Recorded 17,-31-2018

91362\$7309 COGE

իրկիսուսակիկրիիիրիինակիներիիիիրեթեի

EXHIBIT 5

CALIFORNIA

ARIZONA

NEVADA

Hartmann & Kananen

RONALD A. HARTMANN, ESQ. KURT E. KANANEN, ESQ. 5743 Corsa Avenue, STE. 119 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91362

TELEPHONE (818) 710-0151 • CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS @GMAIL.COM

CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS

INSURANCE BAD FAITH

INDOOR AIR QUALITY

WAGE AND HOUR

CLASS ACTIONS

OVERTIME PAY

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:

December 13, 2018

To:

See Below

Fax Numbers:

See Below

Re:

Glazer v Shapiro, et al., LASC Case No. BC 669741

Pages:

2 (including fax cover sheet)

Please see attached December 13, 2018 letter re notice of Ex Parte Application in the above referenced matter.

Warren K. Miller, Esq.

Carlson Law Group, Inc.

21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Office: 818-996-7800 | Fax: 818-884-4285

wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties

Jean H. Cha, Esq.

Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP

801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: 213-624-6900 | Fax: 213-624-6999

Email: jhc@manningllp.com

Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.

Alisa E. Sandoval, Esq.

Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC

234 E Colorado Blvd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101

Phone: (626) 449-5577 | Fax: (626) 449-5572

Email: alisa@richardsonober.com

Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, trustee of

Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard J.

Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.

Andrew L. Leff, Esq.

Spile, Leff & Gore

16501 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 610, Encino, CA 91436

Phone: (818) 784-6899 | Fax: (818) 784-0176

Email: aleff@spilelaw.com

Attorney for defendants Linda D. Seyffert; Podley Associates, Realtors

CALIFORNIA

ARIZONA

NEVADA

Hartmann & Kananen

5743 CORSA AVENUE, SUITE 119 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91362

TELEPHONE (818) 710-0151 • CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS @GMAIL.COM

CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS

INSURANCE BAD FAITH

INDOOR AIR QUALITY

WAGE AND HOUR

CLASS ACTIONS

OVERTIME PAY

PLEASE REPLY TO:

Ronald A. Hartmann, Esq.

December 13, 2018

VIA E-MAIL

See Attached List

Regarding:

Case Name:

Glazer v Shapiro, et al., Case No. BC 669741

Subject:

Plaintiffs' Notice of Ex Parte Application For Monday, Dec. 17, 2018

Dear Counsel:

This letter is notice that on Monday, December 17, 2018, at 8:30 am in Los Angeles County Superior Court Dept. 17, Hon. Richard A. Rico, 111 North Hill Street, Los Angles, CA 90012, Plaintiff will make an ex parte application for the following:

- 1) To enter an order striking the December 7, 2018 Minute Order dismissing the defendants, and returning the case to the active calendar; and
- 2) Set the OSC re Dismissal for the originally scheduled date of December 17, 2018, at 8:30 am in Dept. 17 of the above-entitled Court.

I look forward to seeing everyone on Monday morning, December 17, 2018 in Dept. 17.

Very Truly Yours,

Hartmann & Kananen

Ronald A. Hartmann, Esq.

HARTMANN & KANANEN **DECEMBER 13, 2018** PAGE 2

Service List: Glazer v Shipiro, LASC Case Number BC669741

Warren K. Miller, Esq. Carlson Law Group, Inc.

21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Office:

818-996-7800 | Fax: 818-884-4285

wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties

Jean H. Cha, Esq.

Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP 801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: 213-624-6900 | Fax: 213-624-6999

Email:

jhc@manningllp.com

Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.

Alisa E. Sandoval, Esq.

Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC

234 E Colorado Blvd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101

Phone: (626) 449-5577 | Fax: (626) 449-5572

Email: alisa@richardsonober.com

Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, trustee of Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard J. Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.

Andrew L. Leff, Esq. Spile, Leff & Gore

16501 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 610, Encino, CA 91436

Phone: (818) 784-6899 | Fax: (818) 784-0176

Email: aleff@spilelaw.com

Attorney for defendants Linda D. Seyffert; Podley Associates, Realtors

FAX TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

JOB NO.

0874

DESTINATION ADDRESS

18187840176

SUBADDRESS

DESTINATION ID

12/13 16:00

ST. TIME

00' 29

TX/RX TIME PGS.

RESULT

3 OK

CALIFORNIA

ARIZONA

NEVADA

Hartmann & Kananen

RONALD A, HARTMANN, ESQ. KURT E. KANANEN, ESQ. 574% CORSA AVENUE, STE. 119

WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91862 TELEPHONE (818) 710-0251 . CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS @ GMAR. COM CONSTRUCTION DEPECTS

INSURANCE BAD FAITH

INDOOR AIR QUALITY

WAGE AND HOUR

CLASS ACTIONS

OVERTIME PAY

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:

December 13, 2018

To:

See Below See Below

Fax Numbers: Re:

Glazer v Shapiro, et al., LASC Case No. BC 669741

Pages:

2 (Including fax cover sheet)

Please see attached December 13, 2018 letter re notice of Ex Parte Application in the above referenced matter.

Warren K. Miller, Esq.

Carlson Law Group, Inc.

21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Office: 818-996-7800 | Fax: 818-884-4285

wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties

Jean H. Cha, Esq.

Manning & Kass, Elirod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP 801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017 Phone: 213-624-6900 (Fax: 213-624-6999 ·

Email: jhc@manninglip.com

Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.

Alisa E. Sandoval, Esq.

Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC

234 E Colorado Blvd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101

Phone: (626) 449-5577 | Fax: (626) 449-5572

Email: alisa@richardsonober.com

Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, trustee of Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard J.

Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.



FAX TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

JOB NO.

DESTINATION ADDRESS

18188844285

SUBADDRESS

DESTINATION ID

12/13 15:55

ST. TIME TX/RX TIME

01'09

PGS.

3

RESULT

OK

CALIFORNIA

ARIZONA

NEVADA

Hartmann & Kananen

RONALD A. HARTMANN, ESQ. KURT E. KANANEN, ESQ. 5743 CORSA AVENUE, STE. 119 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91362

TELEPHONE (818) 710-0151 . ConstituctionDescris@Gmat.co

CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS

INSURANCE BAD FAITH

INDOOR AIR QUALITY

WAGE AND HOUR

CLASS ACTIONS

OVERTIME PAY

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:

December 13, 2018

To:

See Below

Fax Numbers:

See Below

Re:

Glazer v Shapiro, et al., LASC Case No. BC 669741

Pages:

2 (including fax cover sheet)

Please see attached December 13, 2018 letter re notice of Ex Parte Application in the above referenced matter.

Warren K. Miller, Esq.

Carlson Law Group, Inc.

21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Office: 818-996-7800 | Fax: 818-884-4285

wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties

Jean H. Cha, Esq.

Manning & Kass, Elirod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP 801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: 213-624-6900 | Fax: 213-624-6999

Email: jhc@manningllp.com

Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.

Alisa E. Sandoval, Esq.

Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC

234 E Colorado Bivd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101

Phone: (626) 449-5577 | Fax: (626) 449-5572

Email: alisa@richardsonober.com

Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, trustee of Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard J.

Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.

TRANSMISSION OK

JOB NO.

0872

DESTINATION ADDRESS

12136246999

SUBADDRESS

DESTINATION ID

12/13 15:53

ST. TIME TX/RX TIME

00' 35

PGS.

3

RESULT

OK

CALIFORNIA

ARIZONA

Hartmann & Kananen

CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS

ANIZUNA

RONALO A. HARTMANN, ESQ. KURT E. KANANEN, ESQ. 5743 CORSA AVENUE, STE. 119 INSURANCE BAD FAITH
INDOOR AIR QUALITY

NEVADA

5743 CORSA AVENUE, STE, 119 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91362

WAGE AND HOUR

WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91362

CLASS ACTIONS

OVERTIME PAY

TELEPHONE (818) 710-0151 - CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS @ GMASL.COM

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:

December 13, 2018

To:

See Below See Below

Fax Numbers: Re:

Glazer v Shapiro, et al., LASC Case No. BC 669741

Pages:

2 (including fax cover sheet)

Please see attached December 13, 2018 letter re notice of Ex Parte Application in the above referenced matter.

Warren K. Miller, Esq.

Carlson Law Group, Inc.

21031 Ventura Boulevard, Ste. 1100, Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Office: 818-996-7800 | Fax: 818-884-4285

wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorney for defendants Kenneth H. Shapiro & Silverwood Properties

Jean H. Cha, Esq.

Manning & Kass, Ellrod, Ramirez, Trester, LLP

801 S Figueroa St 15th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: 213-624-6900 | Fax: 213-624-6999

Email: jhc@manninglip.com

Attorney for defendants Ken L. Compton; Seismic Safety, Inc.

Alisa E. Sandovai, Esq.

Richardson, Harman & Ober, PC

234 E Colorado Bivd. Ste. 800, Pasadena, CA 91101

Phone: (626) 449-5577 | Fax: (626) 449-5572

Email: alisa@richardsonober.com

Attorney for defendants Cheney A. Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs; Cheney Shapiro, trustee of Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K; Cheney Shapiro 401K; Richard J.

Williams; Resourceful Developments, Inc.

明日で 明日で 日本の

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

: 12/13/2018 10:36PM

TIME : 12/13/2018 10:38 NAME : FAX : TEL : SER.# : U63481B7J923595

DATE,TIME FAX NO./NAME DURATION PAGE(S) RESULT MODE

12/13 10:35PM 16264495572 00:00:58 03 OK FINE PC-FAX ECM

EXHIBIT 6